## Improving community-based care for chronic pain: antidote to the opioid epidemic

#### Erin E. Krebs, MD, MPH Minneapolis VA Health Care System University of Minnesota









VA/DoD CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF OPIOID THERAPY FOR CHRONIC PAIN

#### Version 1.0

Recomme	ndations	QE	Overall Quality	R
Opioid therapy is indicated for moderate to severe pain that has failed other therapeutic interventions		Ш	Poor	Ι
Consider t	he ethical imperative to relieve pain		Poor	Ι
	Opinion of respected authorities, case reports, and expert committees			

Management of Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain Working Group, March 2003



#### VA/DoD CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE FOR OPIOID THERAPY FOR CHRONIC PAIN

#	Recommendation	Strength*	Category†
Initi	ation and Continuation of Opioids		
1.	<ul> <li>a) We recommend against initiation of long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain.</li> <li>b) We recommend alternatives to opioid therapy such as self- management strategies and other non-pharmacological treatments.</li> </ul>	a) Strong against b) Strong for	Reviewed, New- replaced
	c) When pharmacologic therapies are used, we recommend non- opioids over opioids.	c) Strong for	

- "Rapidly growing understanding of the significant harms of LOT"
- "...no studies evaluating the effectiveness of LOT for outcomes lasting longer than 16 weeks."

Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain Work Group, February 2017

#### Annals of Internal Medicine



#### The Effectiveness and Risks of Long-Term Opioid Therapy for Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review for a National Institutes of Health Pathways to Prevention Workshop

Roger Chou, MD; Judith A. Turner, PhD; Emily B. Devine, PharmD, PhD, MBA; Ryan N. Hansen, PharmD, PhD; Sean D. Sullivan, PhD; Ian Blazina, MPH; Tracy Dana, MLS; Christina Bougatsos, MPH; and Richard A. Deyo, MD, MPH

 "No study of opioid therapy versus placebo, no opioid therapy, or nonopioid therapy evaluated long-term (>1 year) outcomes related to pain, function, or quality of life."

#### Strategies for Prescribing Analgesics Comparative Effectiveness Trial

Objective: To compare benefits and harms of opioid therapy versus non-opioid medication therapy over 12 months among patients with chronic back or osteoarthritis (OA) pain

- H1: Opioids will improve pain-related function & pain intensity more than non-opioids
- H2: Opioids will cause more adverse medication-related symptoms and events than non-opioids





# Eligibility

- Inclusion criteria: Moderate-severe chronic back pain or hip/knee OA pain despite analgesic use
- Major exclusion criteria
  - Absolute contraindications to opioid therapy
  - Cognitive impairment or psychosis
  - Current long-term opioid therapy

#### Interventions

- Patients randomized to opioid or non-opioid arm
- All patients received individualized medication
   management within assigned arm
  - Follow-up visits monthly, then Q1-3 months
  - Treatment to target pain & individual functional goals
  - Telecare collaborative pain management

#### **Original Investigation**

#### Telecare Collaborative Management of Chronic Pain in Primary Care A Randomized Clinical Trial

Kurt Kroenke, MD; Erin E. Krebs, MD; Jingwei Wu, MS; Zhangsheng Yu, PhD; Neale R. Chumbler, PhD; Matthew J. Bair, MD

- TCM intervention components
  - Symptom monitoring: PEG, PHQ-2, GAD-2
  - Medication optimization
- Pain improvement: 52% intervention vs. 27% usual care (NNT 4.1)

### Medication arms

- All medications in both arms on VA formulary
- Each arm included 3 medication steps
- Opioid daily dose limited to 100 ME mg/day
   (Initial plan was 200 ME mg/day)

### Medication arms

#### **Table: Medications within arms**

	Opioid arm	Non-opioid arm
Step 1	Morphine IR*	Acetaminophen*
	Oxycodone IR	Oral NSAIDs
	Hydrocodone/APAP	Diclofenac topical
Step 2	Morphine SR	Nortriptyline, amitriptyline
	Oxycodone SA	Gabapentin
		Lidocaine topical
Step 3	Fentanyl transdermal	Pregabalin
	(Methadone)	Duloxetine
		Tramadol

\* Preferred initial medication selection



# Response at 12 months: pain-related function and pain intensity

Number (%) with clinically significant improvement

	Opioid (n=117)	Non-opioid (n=117)	P-value
<b>BPI interference</b>	69 (59.0%)	71 (60.7%)	0.722
<b>BPI severity</b>	48 (41.0%)	63 (53.9%)	0.007

#### Intervention contacts

	Opioid (n=120)	Non-opioid (n=120)
Clinic visits, number	2.8 ± 2.0	2.8 ± 2.1
Phone visits, number	$6.1 \pm 2.9$	$6.2 \pm 2.6$
Visit duration, minutes	230 ± 95.5	216 ± 82.5

# Summary

- Opioid therapy was not superior to non-opioid medication therapy over 12 months
  - Pain-related function: no difference
  - Pain intensity: small significant difference favoring non-opioids
- Opioid therapy caused significantly more medication-related adverse symptoms

## Implications of study findings

- Results support CDC guideline recommendation that non-opioid medications are preferred for chronic pain
- Relatively high response rates in both arms, consistent with prior trial of similar TCM intervention

### What next?

#### De-implement inappropriate opioid therapy

#### Implement effective pain therapies



- Prompted by White House summit on prescription opioid crisis
- Focus on alternatives to opioid therapy

## Objectives



- To synthesize existing evidence and gaps related to non-pharmacological approaches for chronic musculoskeletal pain management
  - Psychological/behavioral therapies
  - Exercise/movement therapies
  - Manual therapies
  - Models for care delivery
- To identify approaches ready for implementation
- To identify a research agenda

# Approaches ready for implementation (sufficient evidence)



#### Research agenda (evidence gaps)



- For most therapies, need further study of...
  - Delivery approaches
  - Dose (e.g., frequency, intensity, duration)
  - Strategies for improving adherence
  - Strategies for maintaining benefits
  - Effects of combining and sequencing therapies

# Models for pain care delivery



- Unable to identify published systematic reviews
- Requested an evidence brief from the VA Evidence-Synthesis Program to include studies of...
  - Models using system-based mechanisms to increase uptake and organization of multimodal pain care
  - Adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain
  - Interventions integrated with primary care, excluding those conducted entirely within specialty settings

# Models for pain care delivery

- 11 articles (10 studies) included
- Most RCTs of fair-good quality (3 poor)
- Most had 12 month follow-up (range 6-18)
- Most used usual care control
- Baseline mean pain on
   11-point scale: 5.1-7.7
- 9 diverse models



Peterson K, et al. Evidence Brief: Effectiveness of Models Used to Deliver Multimodal Care for Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain. VA ESP Project #09-199; 2017

## Models for pain care delivery

- Best evidence for 5 models
  - 4 good-quality VA trials combined decision support with case management: ESCAPE, SEACAP, SCAMP, and SCOPE
  - 1 fair-quality British trial combined risk stratification with risk-matched treatment pathways: STarT Back
  - Clinically relevant improvement in pain intensity & pain-related function over 9-12 months (NNT range 4.1-12.70)
- Consider implementation of models across multiple VA facilities, with further evidence development

Peterson K, et al. Evidence Brief: Effectiveness of Models Used to Deliver Multimodal Care for Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain. VA ESP Project #09-199; 2017

#### Implementation challenges



#### Implementation challenges



Access to medications



Access to evidence-based non-pharmacological therapies

### Thank you!

Erin.Krebs@va.gov